PROPOSAL FOR A SHARED PARKING SERVICE WITH BEXLEY – OUTCOME OF CONSULTATION

The consultation with staff commenced on 22 October 2012 and ended on 21 November 2012.

Meetings were held with staff representatives on 28 September, 30 October and 19 November 2012 and the proposals discussed.

Individual meetings were held with the staff on 22 October. Human Resources met personally with Parking staff on 7 November and will meet again on 21 November. A response to individuals' issues raised will be sent on completion of the consultation.

Issues/comments received from Bromley staff and Representatives during the consultation period are set out below. Bexley Council have shared the outcome of their consultation with Bromley. If the proposal for shared service is agreed the joint project board will consider the comments received across the two boroughs and these will be collectively reviewed. Where appropriate and compatible with the basis agreed by Members for the establishment of the service, any outcomes to the proposals would be fed back to staff as part of the response to consultation.

This document is dated 19th November 2012

A. General Issues	Management's Response
A.1 What is the business case to proceed with a shared service	 Management's Response There is duplication of tasks across both authorities and for example both authorities write a parking strategy and if the shared service is agreed then a joint parking strategy would be written. There are similarities in job types and outputs because there are statutory frameworks for parking services. Reduction in staffing and therefore savings from salaries for example, there are currently 2 Heads of Parking and the proposal is 1 post is deleted. It is anticipated the overall savings will be in the region of £100k for Bromley. If the joint tender for IT services is approved then there will be some savings from this. In the longer term when the existing Enforcement Contract is tendered in the future then additional
	savings will be made if the contract is a joint contract
A.2 Are there an additional costs for example due to IT systems	There is work on aligning the IT systems. When the Library Shared Services work was undertaken investment to the infrastructure was made at that time. Resulting in only minimal additional cost to align the Parking Sections.

A.3 Genuine assessments of	Management have undertaken an analysis of workloads
workloads to ensure they are manageable	received and required output per member of staff. Existing performance levels of 20-25 Challenges and/or Reps per day will be expected in the Shared Service and approx 5 PATAS cases per day.
	Other types of project work will be shared between the priorities of Bromley & Bexley as directed by the Head of Service.
A.4 How do the 2 boroughs compare statistically	Bromley is larger in terms of PCNs issued, appeals received, but enforcement activity is similar.
A.5 Will there be a joint agreement	In line with the Library shared service a collaboration agreement will be drawn up setting out in more detail how the shared service will be managed, reporting line, etc.
A.6 Are there income generation targets	Existing levels of performance are expected to be achieved. This will be set out in the collaboration agreement. Any expected income budgets will be set by respective Authorities and their finance officers in accordance with their existing procedures.
A.7 What is the length of the contract	It is a permanent change with an opt-out clause for both boroughs, the detail of which will be agreed and form part of the collaboration agreement.
A.8 What is the proposed implementation date	1.4.2013
A.9 Will there be a client side	As this is a shared service this will not be necessary. There will however be a joint management board to monitor the service across both boroughs.
A.10 How are the costs being shared	 This is set out in 3.24 of the Committee Report. The general principle is a 50-50 share. However for the appeals function there will be a 65.5:35.5% split as this is currently the level of work received by each borough for this function. The cost of the ICT software is a 61:39@ split based on the saving achieved through the joint procurement.

B. HR/Change Management Issues	
B.1 Capacity issue if you delete posts and expect staff to take on more work.	 Management will ensure there are enough staff to deliver the core functions. The shared service will give greater flexibility to deal with peaks in either authority that could not have been handled as well on their own. If there are peaks and or workload change over a period of time, then temporary staff will be appointed in the short term to deal with these peaks. This will be addressed in detail in the collaboration agreement.
B.2 Why the proposal is for a secondment model and not a TUPE model.	A decision was taken that the secondment arrangement had worked well in the Library Shared Service; the secondment model provides greater flexibility particularly if the service changes in the future; and it mitigates against financial liabilities.

B.3 Can the Bexley work be done at Bromley	Because in the main the work is completed electronically then the work can be carried out at Bromley. Provisions will continue for staff to work flexibly as output is measurable.
B.4 How will management decide on assimilations and who can apply for what posts	This was set out in the consultation document for staff. Management have grouped those staff on similar grades and Officers who have the required skills and experience. Staff will be required to express and interest for the position, and in some cases a competitive interview will take place.
B.5 Will there be compulsory redundancies.	It is anticipated from the information provided so far from staff that there will not be compulsory redundancies but this will be dependant on staff applying for voluntary redundancy if the proposal is agreed.
B.6 Staff Side Secretary (Glenn Kelly) would like to see the Job Descriptions/Person Specifications/JE Score Sheets	Arrangements have been made for these to be released to Glenn Kelly. The Bromley HR person on the joint board will be discussing specific issues with Glenn Kelly
B.7 Concern that Bromley staff sitting along side Bexley staff on different terms and conditions and what happens if there is a vacancy	This situation occurs in the secondment arrangements with Library shared service. The issue about what happens if a vacancy arises will be addressed if the proposal is agreed as part of the collaboration agreement.
B.8 Will contracts be issued for Bromley staff which states staff have to work in Bexley.	HR will consider this with management and depending on the needs of the service this may only apply to specific posts.
B.9 Concerns with regard the generic wording in all 4 managers "management and performance management responsibilities" could lead to officers functions not being clearly defined.	It will be the responsibility of the Head of Service to make it clear at the commencement of any project or tendering exercise who is responsible, their scope, reporting lines etc.
B.10 Wording in the job descriptions for Parking Support Officers which includes PATAS work and Bailiff work because the staff at Bexley do this work	Bromley and Bexley HR staff undertook an evaluation process for all posts in the Shared Services. The evaluation of the Parking Support Officers included the function of PATAS and Bailiff tasks. The grading given is the result of this evaluation.

C. CCTV issues C.1 Reviewing of a CCTV	The reviewing and processing of NSI 's CCTV
recording must be made by a qualified officer and that reviewing and storage of the images must be undertaken in a secure area.	 The reviewing and processing of NSL's CCTV car footage will be undertaken by the shared service. Not staff currently employed by Bromley for CCTV enforcement purposes. In the 'collaboration agreement' which will be signed prior to the 1st April 2013, a statement will be made giving authority for undertaking certain work types on Bexley behalf and this will be one of them. Even though we are a shared service some differing practices will still be in place.

C.2 Why is there no mention of Bromley's CCTV mobile units?	The Bromley CCTV function is out of scope of the shared service proposal although staff would continue to be managed by the Parking service
The presentation of only 2 staff gives a false indication of levels, which could be taken as misleading.	The Bromley Structure chart reflects post numbers on the Bromley establishment, of which there are 2 for the purpose of CCTV Mobile enforcement. There is a budget for 2 further posts but no directly employed fte.

D. Post Specific issues	
D.1 The JDs strongly portray a requirement for each officer/manager to have a very strong role in supporting the shared service.	The purpose of this statement (given it sits within the Customer Service - Communications and - Accessibility of Services, section), is to portray a positive image when designing leaflets, attending Residents Association meetings, communications with the public, etc.
D.2 Who will be carrying out inspectors (deleted post)work, primarily site visits where it specifically needs someone to pay a visit (PCN related).	This will be done in the first instance by Vinci Park or NSL in the case of Bexley. Processing and Support Staff are also expected to undertake visits as and when required.
Car park inspections	Vinci Park currently undertakes site inspections for Bromley and provides reports. Occasional visits will be required by staff.
D.3 Having read the PATAS Officer please provide further clarification on point 2.5 of the JD as to what it actually means.	2.5 is a generic statement on all staff JDs. Contracts and contract management are an essential part of Environmental Services and Parking's provision of services. This is just a reflection that you/everyone is required to 'support' managers in contract management matters. This could include keeping a record on Vinci Park's quality performance that has come to light through your PATAS work.
D.4 I will not, at the relevant time, have the Level 2 NVQ in Customer Services or equivalent as required.	This will not be an issue in terms of the selection and recruitment process, although we would expect you to seek this qualification.
D.5 I assume it is anticipated the working hours would remain more or less as they are now.	Yes, the Shared service will be able to accommodate working times from 6am to 7pm Monday to Friday.

D. 6 In the Performance and Debt Recovery Manager role, it states they are "Representing the Authority at the County Court as required; Special project and multiple evaders, etc ". For matters of a more serious nature we would expect the Performance and Debt Recovery Manager to attend and represent LB Bromley/Bexley.

In the bailiff and Debt Recovery Officer role, it makes no mention whatsoever of representing the Authority in the County Court. We are happy to add reference to the role's responsibility to attend court as necessary to oppose LWS etc.